

Genetics

Lab this week:

none until sewage treatment plant on 20 October 27-29 October = ORPI, Pinacate, CEDO (Mexico) (see website for lab readings)

Housekeeping, 10 October 2006

Thank Hans-Werner Herrmann 506 Topic and References (12 Oct \rightarrow 19 Oct)

Upcoming Readings today: Text Ch.5, Biogeography excerpt, Ch.6

Thurs 12 Oct: Text Ch. 6 and 7 Tues 17 Oct: Text Ch. 7 (Kathy Gerst, invasive species) Thurs 19 Oct: Text Ch. 7 and 8

Short oral presentations

- 10 Oct Viola Sanderlin & Crystal Richt
- 12 Oct Robert Dietz
- 17 Oct Sarah Karasz and Allison Peterson
- 19 Oct Rachel Smith and Shea Cogswell ²

2) SNR WEDNESDAY SEMINAR

On Wednesday, October 11, at noon in BSE 225, Tom Degomez (Associate Extension Specialist, SNR) will give a talk on Maintaining an Extension Program While Drowning in Bark Beetles. All are encouraged to attend.

3) LABORATORY OF TREE-RING RESEARCH SEMINAR THOMAS HARLAN University of Arizona The Bristlecone Project Brought Up To Date WHEN: Wednesday, October 11 2006 TIME: 12:00 noon WHERE: Building 45, Tree-Ring West* Room 20 (*Math East Building) For more information please call 621-1608 MAP: <http://www.ltrr.arizona.edu/map.html>http://www.ltrr.arizona.edu/map.html

3

4

Global Climate Change Lecture Series

All lectures will take place at UA Centennial Hall.

All lectures begin at 7pm and are free to the public. Call 520.621.4090 for more information.

Tuesday, October 17 Global Climate Change: The Evidence Malcolm Hughes, Professor of Dendrochronology

Tuesday, October 24



Global Climate Change: What's Ahead Jonathan Overpeck, Director of the Institute for the Study of Planet Earth and Professor of Geosciences

Tuesday, October 31 Global Climate Change: The Role of Living Things Travis Huxman, Assistant Professor of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Tuesday, November 7 Global Climate Change: Ocean Impacts and Feedbacks Julia Cole, Associate Professor of Geosciences

Tuesday, November 14 Global Climate Change: Disease and Society Andrew Comrie, Dean of the Graduate College and Professor of Geography and Regional Development

Tuesday, November 21 Global Climate Change: Could Geoengineering Reverse It? Roger Angel, Regents' Professor of Astronomy

Tuesday, November 28 Global Climate Change: Designing Policy Responses Paul Portney, Dean of the Eller College of Management and Professor of Economics

Viola Sanderlin & Crystal Richt







5



Cheetah Conservation Fund in Namibia



Can we flood the Grand Canyon?

10 October Question 4 (due 17 October)

Which unit of biology deserves protection? Why?

7

8

New Question!...

(5 points to winner)

1. Given limited resources, would you concentrate conservation efforts on one species with high genetic diversity and perhaps several subspecies, OR, would you focus on several different species, each of them with low genetic diversity? Why?

2. Do genetically modified organisms (GMOs) constitute or lead to a conservation problem? Why?

3. You have just been hired as a conservation consultant. Based on what you know from the SDCP and other research, what do you believe are the most important components of an effective policy? Why are these components so important to good conservation planning?

4. If islands are such "endemic hotspots," should they be considered a conservation priority even though they comprise a small percentage of the world's land mass? (similar scenario for coral reefs in marine systems)

5. How do advances in technology and increased understanding of molecular biology/genetics both bolster and detract from the goal of Conservation Biology and the ESA?

Chapter 5 (Paradigms...)

- Genetic Diversity (MVP, PVA)
- Island Biogeography
- Metapopulations
- Habitat Heterogeneity
- Disturbance



9

Chap 6 – Genetics of Conservation Biology



Figure 5.3

Percent change in the inbreeding coefficient (ΔF) at different population sizes. Note that the value of the inbreeding coefficient increases as population size declines.

Van Dyke 2003

After Frankel and Soulé (1981).

10

Quickly lose rare alleles in bottlenecks



A graphical representation of population size before, during, and after a population battleneck.

Figure 5.2



Cheetah Major Histocompatibility Complex

11

Drift

When populations number less than a few hundred individuals random events become more important to genetic structure of population than natural selection

3,000-10,000 breeding adults

12



Extinction Vortex for a population

- F Vortex: inbreeding depression, lethal equivalents (homozygous recessives)
- A Vortex: genetic drift and loss of variation (can't adapt)
- R Vortex: r = spontaneous rate of increase (coupled with environmental stochasticity)
- D Vortex: discontinuity (isolation)



Figure 5.5 The F vortex and A vortex, two accelerating and degenerative cycles of population decline driven by an increasing level of inbreeding depression (F vortex) or a decreasing ability of the population to adapt to a changing environment (A vortex). Both are exacetbated in small populations. It is the population size, D is the population distribution, r is the population's instantaneous rate of increase, and N_e is the effective population size.

VanDyke 2003 After Gilpin and Soulé (1986).

15



Figure 5.6 The R Vortex, an accelerating and degenerative cycle of population decline driven by increasing whereability to environmental diatrabance at low population sizes. N is population driven size, D is population drivention, r is the populations' intractanceous rate of increase, and N₄ is the effective population size. After Gilpin and Soulé (1986)

VanDyke 2003

pulation Struct and Fitness N,D ↓ Demographic Randomness Extinction

Figure 5.7 The D or discontinuity vortex, an accelerating and degenerative cycle of population decline driven by the fragmentation of the population insumpler and multiple suburits. No is population size, D is population duritation, and $N_{\rm L}$ is the effective population size. A lowering of N and an increase in demographic randomness can alter the special duritation. More fragmented duritations increase the likelihood local extinctors.

Hardy Weinberg Equation

two alleles: p, q

 $(p + q)^2 = p^2 + 2pq + q^2$

Under Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium $H_e = 2pq$ H_o can be calculated

If p=0.6, q=0.4, then $2pq = 0.48 = H_e$

17

18

Wright's Fixation Index

Fst = 0, or <0.01 indicate little divergence among pops.

Fst > 0.1 indicate much divergence among pops.

Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium, two alleles: p, q Expected heterozygosity = 2pq

Fst = (Ht-Hs)/Ht (H= heterozygosity) Total Pool Equilibrium Heterozygosity ($\Delta H = 0$)

$H^* = 2Nm$

H = heterozygosity N = population size m = mutation rate

Therefore, smaller populations have lower equilibrium heterozygosity

Minimum Viable Population (MVP) (Frankel, Soule, Franklin, Shaffer) 50/500/+ Rule Short term Mid term Long Term



20

19