Lecture 15, 10 Oct 2006 CH5 Paradigms, CH6 Genetics

> Conservation Biology ECOL 406R/506R University of Arizona Fall 2006

> > Kevin Bonine Kathy Gerst



Theoretical **Paradigms**

Genetics

Lab this week:

none until sewage treatment plant on 20 October 27-29 October = ORPI, Pinacate, CEDO (Mexico) (see website for lab readings)

2) SNR WEDNESDAY SEMINAR

On Wednesday, October 11, at noon in BSE 225, Tom Degomez (Associate Extension Specialist, SNR) will give a talk on Maintaining an Extension Program While Drowning in Bark Beetles.

All are encouraged to attend.

3) LABORATORY OF TREE-RING RESEARCH SEMINAR THOMAS HARLAN

University of Arizona The Bristlecone Project Brought Up To Date

WHEN: Wednesday, October 11 2006

WHEN. Wednesday, October 1. 2000 TIME: 12:00 noon WHERE: Building 45, Tree-Ring West* Room 20 (*Math East Building) For more information please call 621-1608

MAP.

Housekeeping, 10 October 2006

Thank Hans-Werner Herrmann 506 Topic and References (12 Oct → 19 Oct)

Upcoming Readings

today: Text Ch.5, Biogeography excerpt, Ch.6

Thurs 12 Oct: Text Ch. 6 and 7

Tues 17 Oct: Text Ch. 7 (Kathy Gerst, invasive species)

Thurs 19 Oct: Text Ch. 7 and 8

Short oral presentations

10 Oct Viola Sanderlin & Crystal Richt

12 Oct Robert Dietz

17 Oct Sarah Karasz and Allison Peterson

19 Oct Rachel Smith and Shea Cogswell

Global Climate Change Lecture Series

All lectures will take place at UA Centennial Hall.

http://cos.arizona.edu/climate/

Viola Sanderlin & Crystal Richt Just the fashion facts Old trends avoid the trash can AZ Daily Wildcat 9/21/06

Cheetah Conservation Fund in Namibia

KAS, NAS, VIS, ESA Genetic Similarity -9 Mile NAS

Can we flood the Grand Canyon?

10 October Question 4 (due 17 October)
Which unit of biology deserves protection? Why?
New Question!...

(5 points to winner)

- 1. Given limited resources, would you concentrate conservation efforts on one species with high genetic diversity and perhaps several subspecies, OR, would you focus on several different species, each of them with low genetic diversity? Why?
- 2. Do genetically modified organisms (GMOs) constitute or lead to a conservation problem? Why?
- 3. You have just been hired as a conservation consultant. Based on what you know from the SDCP and other research, what do you believe are the most important components of an effective policy? Why are these components so important to good conservation planning?
- 4. If islands are such "endemic hotspots," should they be considered a conservation priority even though they comprise a small percentage of the world's land mass? (similar scenario for coral reefs in marine systems)
- 5. How do advances in technology and increased understanding of molecular biology/genetics both bolster and detract from the goal of Conservation Biology and the ESA?

Chapter 5 (Paradigms...)

- Genetic Diversity (MVP, PVA)
- Island Biogeography
- Metapopulations
- Habitat Heterogeneity
- Disturbance

Chap 6 - Genetics of Conservation Biology






Figure 5.3 Percent change in the inbreeding coefficient $\{\Delta F\}$ at different population sizes. Note that the value of the inbreeding coefficient increases as population size declines.

After Frankel and Soulé (1981). Van Dyke 2

Quickly lose rare alleles in bottlenecks



Figure 5.2

A graphical representation of population size before, during, and other a population hadronic.



Cheetah Major Histocompatibility Complex

11

Drift

When populations number less than a few hundred individuals random events become more important to genetic structure of population than natural selection

3,000-10,000 breeding adults

12

Cyprinodon macularius

Desert Pupfish

Desert pupfish declined due to the introduction and spread of

exotic predatory and competitive fishes, water impoundment and diversion, water pollution, groundwater pumping, stream channelization, and habitat modification.





Extinction Vortex for a population

F Vortex: inbreeding depression, lethal equivalents (homozygous recessives)

A Vortex: genetic drift and loss of variation (can't adapt)

R Vortex: r = spontaneous rate of increase (coupled with environmental stochasticity)

D Vortex: discontinuity (isolation)

14




Figure 5.5.

The Toutes and A costes, two condensing and degenerative cycles of population decline drives from the rest could be fine from the contract of the first own and A contract of the contract of the





Figure 5.6
The R Value, on consistenting and degenerative cycle of population declare driven by incovering schemibility to environmental discharations of the population state. With population state, With population state, With population discharation of the population discharation of the population discharation, in the population instruments rate of seconds, and Fig. 16 are flucture population state.

After Gilpin and Smith (1988)

VanDyke 2003



Biger 5.2

Biger 5.2

Big C discontinuity virins, on accelerating and degenerative virile of population ducline drives by the linguiseation of the population has decline drives by the linguiseation of the population has population than the linguiseation declined by the lingui

Hardy Weinberg Equation

two alleles: p, q

$$(p + q)^2 = p^2 + 2pq + q^2$$

Under Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium ${\rm H_e} = 2 {\rm pq} \\ {\rm H_o} \ {\rm can \ be \ calculated}$

If p=0.6, q=0.4, then $2pq = 0.48 = H_e$

17

Wright's Fixation Index

Fst = 0, or <0.01 indicate little divergence among pops.

Fst > 0.1 indicate much divergence among pops.

Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium, two alleles: p, q Expected heterozygosity = 2pq

Fst = (Ht-Hs)/Ht (H= heterozygosity)

Total Pool Separate populations

3

Equilibrium Heterozygosity ($\Delta H = 0$)

$H^* = 2Nm$

H = heterozygosity N = population size m = mutation rate

Therefore, smaller populations have lower equilibrium heterozygosity

19

Minimum Viable Population (MVP) (Frankel, Soule, Franklin, Shaffer) 50/500/+ Rule Short term Mid term Long Term

20